

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

JAYAKUMAR SUNDARAN NAIR et al.,

CASE NO. 2:23-cv-00454-TL

Plaintiffs,

**ORDER ON EX PARTE MOTION TO
CANCEL LIS PENDENS**

ALEX TOTH et al.,

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court on Defendant Dean Kalivas’s Ex Parte Motion to Cancel Lis Pendens. Dkt. No. 24. Defendant argues that his “house-cleaning motion” is appropriate because the Court dismissed this case without prejudice on August 30, 2023. Defendant notes that despite the case being dismissed, on September 28, 2023, Plaintiff Jayakrishnan Nair referenced this case as cause for encumbering the title to two properties that are claimed to be at issue in this matter pursuant to RCW 4.28.320. Dkt. No. 24 at 1–2. That same day, Plaintiffs filed a motion to reconsider the Court’s order of dismissal, which was eventually denied. *See* Dkt. Nos. 17, 19. Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal to the Ninth Circuit on November 20, 2023.

1 *See* Dkt. No. 20. Defendant Kalivas filed an ex parte motion to cancel lis pendens on
2 December 4, 2023. Dkt. No. 24. The appeal is still pending at the Ninth Circuit.

3 A “lis pendens” is an “instrument having the effect of clouding the title to real property.”
4 RCW 4.28.328(1)(a). A court has discretion to cancel a lis pendens when three conditions are
5 met: (1) the action must be settled, discontinued, or abated, (2) an aggrieved person must move
6 to cancel the lis pendens, and (3) the aggrieved person must show good cause and provide proper
7 notice. RCW 4.28.320; *see also Suess v. Nw. Timber & Dev., Inc.*, 24 Wn. App. 2d 1010, 2022
8 WL 14297047, at *15 (2022) (unpublished) (quoting *Guest v. Lange*, 195 Wn. App. 330, 336,
9 381 P.3d 130 (2016)). “[A] notice of appeal, by transporting a case from a trial court to a court of
10 appeals, renders the action in that case not ‘settled, discontinued, or abated.’” *Guest*, 195 Wn.
11 App. at 340. Further, “the weight of authority from other jurisdictions suggests that an appeal
12 preserves the lis pendens.” *Id.* at 339.

13 The Court finds that this case is not fully “settled, discontinued, or abated” due to the
14 pending Ninth Circuit appeal. *See, e.g., Suess*, 2022 WL 14297047 at *15. Therefore, the Court
15 DENIES Defendant’s motion without prejudice.

16 Dated this 26th day of March 2024.

17 
18 _____
19 Tana Lin
20 United States District Judge
21
22
23
24